

www.ifrc.org  
Saving lives,  
changing minds.

## DREF final report Zimbabwe: Floods

 International Federation  
of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies

**DREF operation n° MRDZW007**  
**GLIDE n° FL-2013-000011-ZWE**  
**Final Report**  
**11 December 2013**

The International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC) Disaster Relief Emergency Fund (DREF) is a source of un-earmarked money created by the Federation in 1985 to ensure that immediate financial support is available for Red Cross Red Crescent response to emergencies. The DREF is a vital part of the International Federation's disaster response system and increases the ability of National Societies to respond to disasters.

### Summary:

- CHF 266,514 was allocated from the IFRC's Disaster Relief Emergency Fund (DREF) in February 2013 to support the Zimbabwe Red Cross (ZRC) in delivering assistance to some 3,500 beneficiaries (700 households) and to replenish its disaster preparedness stocks.
- DREF operation update no. 1 was issued in the 8 May 2013 to provide for a one month extension to enable implementation of activities delayed due to a severe hailstorm.
- DREF Operations Update no. 2 was issued on the 7 June 2013 to revise the operation to include the response to the hailstorm and provide for a month's extension to implement the final activities.



Shelter camp for the displaced families at Chikwalakwala- Beitbridge. Picture: ZRC

Continuous heavy rains and hailstorms across the country caused flooding, which resulted in destruction of property, infrastructure and livestock. Six provinces were affected, namely Matebeleland South, Matebeleland North, Midlands, Masvingo, Mashonaland Central and Manicaland being the worst affected by the storms and flooding.

Within the operational timeframe, a hailstorm hit Mberengwa, resulting in the death of two children and physical injuries to others. The hailstorm resulted in the destruction of homes, livelihoods and infrastructure. A total of 581 families were affected, and as a result of limited to no response from other actors, ZRC responded to the needs of the affected families the emergency through the DREF resources allocated to the floods response.

The operation was initially planned to start from 7 February 2013 and finish by 7 May 2013. However, the operation was extended until 7 June 2013 in order to complete the construction of latrines; which were not possible during the peak of the floods due to water logged soils. The timeframe extension also enabled an internal review and lessons learnt workshop to be held near the end of the operation timeframe.

All activities planned under this DREF operation were implemented and this narrative is final in terms of activities carried out, with a final financial report attached. A balance of CHF 18,741 will be returned to DREF.

The Netherlands Red Cross and the Netherlands government contributed to the replenishment of the DREF allocation made for this operation.

Other major donors and partners to the DREF include the Australian, American and Belgian governments, the Austrian Red Cross, the Canadian Red Cross and government, Danish Red Cross and government, DG ECHO, the Irish and the Italian governments, the Japanese Red Cross, the Luxembourg government, the Monaco Red Cross and government, the Netherlands Red Cross and government, the Norwegian Red Cross and government, the Spanish Government, the Swedish Red Cross and government, the United Kingdom Department for International Development (DFID), the Medtronic and Zurich Foundations, and other corporate and private donors. Details of DREF contributions are found on: <http://www.ifrc.org/docs/appeals/Active/MDR00001.pdf>

IFRC, on behalf of ZRCS, would like to extend its thanks for all generous contributions.

[<click here for the final financial report; or here for contact details>](#)

## The situation

Heavy rains resulted in flooding in six provinces of Zimbabwe (Matabeleland South, Matabeleland North, Midlands, Masvingo, Mashonaland Central and Manicaland). All the provinces sustained damages to infrastructures, crops, livestock, shelter and loss of lives. Many families were left homeless, while their belongings and sources of livelihoods were washed away. 125 persons died in the floods and storms. Generally, 9,700 persons were affected, half of which required emergency humanitarian assistance. The heavy rains also destroyed schools, clinics, bridges and roads.

Assessments done in March 2013 reaffirmed the emergency needs of the communities, which included temporary shelter and non food items (NFIs). The National Society assessment also included stakeholders' mapping to streamline their response, ensuring that there was no duplication of activities.

Within the floods operational timeframe, a hailstorm hit Mberengwa, resulting in the death of two children and physical injuries, coupled with destruction of homes, means of livelihoods and infrastructure. A total of 581 families were affected. The hail came in the form of large hail stones, and in some instances these hail stones took days to melt.

## Red Cross and Red Crescent action

At the onset of the disaster, an initial rapid assessment was carried out by ZRCS, with beneficiary figures reliant upon secondary data from figures compiled by the District Administration Offices. Based on this, a plan to support affected communities with emergency shelter and relief distributions was drawn up, to assist up to 700 households in this sector, and the procurement process for the items began.

Further assessments in the course of initial distributions established that other players were involved in meeting the needs of flood affected communities. In Chiredzi District, ZRCS initially found that 283 households required assistance, however during the course of the response operation, it was found that a number of the target beneficiaries had been reached by other stakeholders such as IOM, who supported 183 of the affected families. As such, ZRCS revised its response plan accordingly to cover the remaining 100 families, resulting in a balance of stocks for 183 families undistributed.

When a hailstorm struck Mberengwa district, ZRCS was requested to support local authorities. Due to the availability of the undistributed non-food items (NFIs), ZRCS revised their plan of action and responded to the local authorities' request and the needs of the community. ZRCS distributed emergency shelter and non food items to 180 families.

IFRC country office provided technical support in terms of planning, shelter training, logistics, water and sanitation and reporting. Volunteers were trained in the distribution of non-food items. In addition, the Africa Zone Shelter Coordinator conducted emergency shelter construction training for 21 volunteers from the affected provinces. In-country Partner National Societies (PNSs) provided training for volunteers in First Aid and community-based health programmes, which contributed to the capacity of the National Society in responding to the floods<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Norwegian Red Cross provided training for volunteers in Gokwe, Tsholotsho and Manicaland, Finnish Red Cross trained volunteers in Muzarabani, American Red Cross in Binga, while Netherlands Red Cross provided capacity building support in Beitbridge to respond to emergencies.

Near the end of the operation, IFRC and ZRCS carried out a review of the DREF operation. This provided a good opportunity for reflections on lessons learned from this operation and improve future disaster emergency responses. Key outputs and lessons learnt are documented further in this report.

## Achievements against outcomes

| Emergency shelter and relief distributions ( basic non-food items)  |  |
|---|--|
| Outcome: Living conditions improved for 3,500 people (700 households) whose houses were completely or partially damaged by the floods |  |
| Outputs   | Activities planned   |
| 700 families have been provided with temporary shelter and basic household items  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conduct rapid emergency needs and capacity assessments in the six affected provinces.</li> <li>• Develop beneficiary targeting strategy and registration system to deliver intended assistance.</li> <li>• Distribute 575 shelter kits and assist beneficiaries to construct temporary shelters</li> <li>• Train 60 volunteer in shelter and relief activities (include distribution, erecting shelters and monitoring)</li> <li>• Procure and distribute non-food items for 700 families, including 180 families affected by a hailstorm in Mberengwa district (each family will receive 2 blankets,1 kitchen set, 1 hygiene kit)</li> <li>• Distribute 175 family tents and replenish those already distributed.</li> <li>• Control supply movements from point of dispatch to end user</li> <li>• Develop an exit strategy</li> <li>• Monitor and evaluate the relief activities and provide reporting on relief distributions.</li> </ul> |

### Achievements:

- 1,034 families were provided with temporary shelter and basic household items, including 180 families affected by an hailstorm. The Civil Protection Unit (CPU) assisted in the distribution of the tents.
- A rapid emergency needs and capacity assessments in the seven affected provinces.
- A beneficiary targeting strategy and registration system were developed in consultation with local leaders.
- 144 shelter kits were distributed.
- 502 families were assisted in the construction of temporary shelters.
- 60 volunteers were trained in shelter and relief activities (including distribution, erecting shelters and the cascading of the training to their respective provinces.
- 1,034 families received NFIs according to the identified needs during the assessments.
- 214 family tents were distributed.
- Volunteers assisted in the distribution of the tents and helped the elderly and physically infirm to set them.
- Camps were set in Beitbridge. As at time of this report, the affected people were in the process of returning to their homes.

The DREF operation managed to reach more beneficiaries due to the utilization of the National Society's prepositioned stocks. In some provinces, the numbers of beneficiaries were less than initially targeted due to the interventions of other agencies.

**Table 2: Table highlighting the total reach of DREF assistance and other actors**

| Province           | District   | Planned No of households | Actual number of HH reached by RC actions | Number of HH reached by other actors | Other Actors |
|--------------------|------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Matabeleland South | Beitbridge | 95                       | 35  |                                      |              |
|                    | Mangwe     |                          | 60  |                                      |              |
|                    | Gwanda     |                          | 46  |                                      |              |
| Matabeleland North | Tsholotsho | 67                       | 144                                       |                                      |              |
|                    | Binga      | 15                       | 0   | 15                                   | IOM          |

|                        |                                     |                           |                            |     |     |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|
| Masvingo               | Chivi, Zaka/<br>Bikita,<br>Chiredzi | 35<br>24<br>283           | 13<br>165<br>31<br>100     | 183 | IOM |
| Midlands               | Gokwe<br>North                      | 25                        | 50                         |     |     |
| Manicaland             | Chipinge,<br>Nyanga,<br>Mutare      | 50<br>30                  | 360                        |     |     |
| Mashonaland<br>Central | Mt. Darwin,<br>Mbire,<br>Muzarabani | 76                        | 30                         |     |     |
| <b>Total</b>           |                                     | <b>700<br/>households</b> | <b>1034<br/>households</b> |     |     |

Note: This table includes stock distributed to flood affected households from ZRCS prepositioned stock.

The table below highlights the status of the prepositioned materials (pre and post DREF operations).

**Table 3: Distribution breakdown of NFIs**

|                                      | tarpaulins | tents | jerry cans | buckets | soap boxes | kitchen sets | mosquito nets | blankets | water makers | aqua tablets | bibs | Plastic sheet <sup>2</sup> |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-------|------------|---------|------------|--------------|---------------|----------|--------------|--------------|------|----------------------------|
| Total material procured through DREF | 1125       | 175   | 700        | 700     | 218        | 700          | 1400          | 1400     | 37800        | 50400        | 170  | 100                        |
| Total material in stock before DREF  | 26         | 38    | 0          | 520     | 54         | 74           | 562           | 554      | 5267.5       | 0            | 0    | 0                          |
| Total material distributed           | 411        | 179   | 95         | 1025    | 147        | 606          | 1912          | 1849     | 17640        | 46200        | 170  | 29                         |
| <b>Total Balance in Stock</b>        | 740        | 34    | 605        | 195     | 115        | 168          | 50            | 105      | 25427.5      | 4200         | 0    | 71                         |

The balance of the undistributed materials was made up of the stocks that the National Society had previously reserved under its emergency preparedness.

When a hailstorm struck Mberengwa district, ZRCS was requested to assist in the response by local authorities. ZRCS distributed emergency shelter and non-food items to the 180 families, with 95 families receiving the full shelter and NFI component, including tent, one kitchen set, one jerry can, one bucket, two blankets and two soap, while 85 of the families receiving two blankets and two pieces of soap each.

#### **Challenges:**

There was a variation in the budget for the planned 2-day non-residential refresher course on shelter construction. During the exercise, a skills gap was identified among the staff and volunteers and this resulted in the extension of the training to a 5-day residential exercise with the related unforeseen additional costs. There was also a variation in budget and expenditure booked as National Society staff allowances (per diems) were wrongly budgeted under Travel, which resulted in over expenditure on Personnel and under expenditure on Travel.

<sup>2</sup> Plastic sheets were used to make temporary shelters and latrines. Some households also spread the plastic sheeting on the ground to come up with a dry sleeping floor.

## Emergency health

**Outcome: Waterborne and communicable diseases were minimised through increased awareness of 700 families (3,500 people) about health risks related to flooding situations.**

| Outputs  | Activities planned  |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>700 flood affected families are aware of water borne/related health risks including cholera and malaria and how to prevent these.</li> <li>700 flood affected families are using ITNs correctly.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Conduct CBHFA refresher training focusing on epidemic control and PHHE in flood situation to 30 volunteers</li> <li>Awareness raising of 700 flood affected families how to reduce risks for diseases such as cholera and malaria</li> <li>Procure and distribute insecticide treated mosquito nets (ITNs) for 700 families (two ITNs per family)</li> <li>Monitor and evaluate emergency health activities</li> </ul> |

### Achievements:

- 1,912 ITNs were distributed to 896 households. The initial plan was to target 700 households with 1,400 ITNs, the excess was attributed to the additional needs which arose due to the hailstorms experienced during the implementation timeframe and ZRCS used some of its prepositioned stock.
- Three trainings were conducted in Matebeleland South ( Beitbridge), Manicaland (Chipinge) and Mashonaland Central (Muzarabani). 120 volunteers were trained in shelter construction, 60 were trained in CBHFA and disease prevention.
- 190 households were reached with cholera/malaria prevention messages through awareness raising activities.

## Water, sanitation, and hygiene promotion

**Outcome: Improve health and restore dignity by provision of adequate safe water, sanitation, hygiene promotion interventions to 700 households (or 3,500 beneficiaries) in the affected areas of the 6 provinces**

| Outputs  | Activities planned  |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>700 households have access to clean water</li> <li>700 households reached by hygiene promotion activities</li> <li>700 households with improved sanitary conditions.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assess the water and sanitation needs of the affected population</li> <li>Support the construction of 200 pit latrines.</li> <li>Conducting hygiene promotion to 700 families (3,500 persons) in the six provinces using the Participatory Health and Hygiene Education (PHHE/PHAST in emergencies methodology)</li> <li>Distribute and train households on use of water treatment products (37,800 chlorination tablets and 50,400 chloro-floc sachets) to 700 households (2 sachets/household/day)</li> <li>Procure and distribute 700 buckets (one per family)</li> <li>Procure and distribute 700 jerry cans (one per family)</li> <li>Monitor and evaluate WatSan and hygiene activities</li> </ul> |

### Achievements:

- An assessment was carried out to determine the WatSan needs arising from the floods.
- Support was given for the construction of 200 pit latrines. In Masvingo-Zaka, 185 households received latrine support, while two schools in Matebeleland North (Mathupula and Mahole) were reopened after receiving support for the construction of latrines which were levelled by the storms. The latrines took longer time to complete than initially planned for due to water logged grounds which initially made excavation of the pits difficult.
- 190 households were reached with hygiene promotion.
- Volunteers distributed 37,800 chlorination tablets and 50,400 chloro-flocs sachets were procured planned for 700 households in the ratio of two sachets/household/day. By the end of the operation, over 50% were distributed, improving the quality of portable water for the affected communities.
- More water buckets than jerry cans were distributed, as jerry cans were not available in country and the buckets managed to address the identified needs.

## Communications- Advocacy and Public Information

| <b>Outcome: The profile and position of the host national society and the IFRC are enhanced, leading to increased availability of funds and other resources to support this and future emergency operations.</b> |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Outputs</b>   | <b>Activities planned</b>  |
| Communications products are made available to media and stakeholders.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• News releases, fact sheets, photographs/video and interviews with qualified spokespeople are developed and made available to media and key stakeholders.</li> <li>• Direct outreach will be coordinated with the Host National Society and conducted with National and International media.</li> <li>• The launch of this DREF will be supported with people-centred, community level diverse content, including web-stories, video footage and photos will be posted to ifrc.org and shared with other global humanitarian web portals and international media</li> <li>• An IFRC communications officer will be deployed immediately to the affected region, coordinating the gathering and dissemination of communication materials, liaising with and supporting the Host National Society</li> </ul> |

### **Achievements:**

From the onset of the operation, the NS was able to publish articles in both print and electronic media profiling the response. This managed to stir public interest in the ZRCS and its activities and fostered its wide recognition as a first responder.

News releases, fact sheets, photographs/video and interviews were developed and made available to media and key stakeholders.

## Logistics

| <b>Outcome: Emergency relief NFIs are transported to the affected areas</b>          |   |
|--|---|
| <b>Outputs</b>   | <b>Activities</b>   |
| Effective logistical support has enabled rapid assistance to targeted beneficiaries. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Procurement of non food items for relief, emergency health and WatSan activities</li> <li>• Transporting and prepositioning of response material.</li> <li>• Control supply movements from point of dispatch to end user.</li> <li>• Transportation of staff and volunteers to the affected areas</li> </ul> |

### **Achievements**

While much of the stocks were procured by the IFRC country office, the logistics with regards to the transportation of the materials for distribution was well organised by the National Society. There were only exceptional cases where the transporting trucks broke down. The IFRC logistics unit provided support and ensured expedited access to some regional stocks in the National Society warehouse in Gweru.

The control of supply movements from point of dispatch to the end users were ensured through adherence to the IFRC accounting and reporting systems. Distributions and registration lists and goods received notes were properly completed. However, in some instances the transportation of staff and volunteers to the affected areas was delayed due to the impassable water logged roads and the destroyed infrastructures. In some cases, stakeholders with suitable logistical capacity could support e.g. Airlifting to displaced families.

### **Challenges:**

The initial plan to procure the NFIs locally or within the region met some challenges. During the operation, it was found that many of the items needed could not be procured locally in line with the required standards. Subsequently, the decision was made to procure the items from Dubai, which consequently attracted additional transportation costs which resulted in the budget lines for Logistics, Transport/Freight and Storage being overspent.

### **Monitoring and evaluation/ lessons learned:**

During the implementation, monitoring and support visits were made to the affected areas with the aim of providing needed support to the National Society provincial teams who responded to the disaster. Limited budget provision militated against the desired frequency of monitoring visits.

The ZRCS headquarters and IFRC country office actively participated in the monitoring and assessment of the flood situation in the country during the rainy season. Coordination with UNOCHA, state and non-state actors, enabled the National Society to maintain updated information regarding the flood situation and the related emergency needs.

Based on its previous experience, the National Society had developed tools that assisted in beneficiary identification, tracking and proper documentation of the items distributed. Monthly reports were generated in the provinces and transmitted to the headquarters for updates. The reports were equally relayed to the IFRC country office and they culminated in this final report.

An evaluation of the operation were concluded in June. This provided a good opportunity for reflections on lessons learned regarding future disaster emergency responses. As part of the evaluation, the ZRCS conducted a lessons learnt workshop in May with the objective of reviewing the DREF implementation process. The review, which was carried out in June was initiated by the IFRC regional and zone offices, aimed at improving capacity for future emergency responses. The exercise involved desk reviews, field visits and a feedback workshop. These processes involved an analysis of the NS strengths and encountered operational challenges as well as recommendations for future DREF operations in the country which were highlighted as follows:

**Table 1: Lessons Learned and Recommendations:**

| <b>Challenges</b>   | <b>Recommendations</b>  |
|---|---|
| Most flood affected communities use firewood for cooking and aluminium pots are not appropriate   | Locally available black pots are more appropriate instead of the IFRC kitchen sets distributed (aluminium pots).  |
| Volunteers were not aware of the provisions under volunteer insurance   | National Society to be aware of the provision made under the volunteer insurance (this is standard in all DREF and Emergency Appeal operations) and how to access it should the need arise                                |
| The assessments also established that food shortages were the most immediate needs that was not being met sufficiently by other humanitarian actors such as the WFP | The operational plan could be reviewed to reflect the needs of the target communities and could include provision of food.  |
| There was a gap in interventions as most families required food assistance, whilst most agencies concentrated on NFIs.  | Strengthening coordination with other stakeholders to ensure that the operation is complementary to what others were providing.   |
| Communities were traumatised by the disasters that affected their communities   | Consider the need and budget for psychosocial support in future DREF operations (including training for volunteers)   |
| There was a noted gap in data collection processes.   | Systematic data collection and monitoring should be built-in at all stages of the operation (assessment, registration and distribution) using a standardised data collection tool, disaggregated by gender and diversity. |

---

## Contact information

### For further information specifically related to this operation please contact:

- **Zimbabwe Red Cross Society:** Maxwell Phiri, Secretary General; phone: tel: +263.4.332638; +263.4.332197; email: [zrcs@ecoweb.co.zw](mailto:zrcs@ecoweb.co.zw); [phirim@redcrosszim.org.zw](mailto:phirim@redcrosszim.org.zw);
- **IFRC Zimbabwe Country Representative:** Oforbuike Nwobodo; Country Representative, Zimbabwe Country Representation, Harare; phone: +263.4.705166; +263.4.720315; fax +263.4.708784; email: [oforbuike.nwobodo@ifrc.org](mailto:oforbuike.nwobodo@ifrc.org)
- **IFRC Africa Zone:** Daniel Bolaños Gonzalez, DM Coordinator; phone: +254 20 283 5000; email: [daniel.bolanos@ifrc.org](mailto:daniel.bolanos@ifrc.org)
- **IFRC Africa Region:** Diana Ongiti, Senior Resource Mobilization Officer; mobile phone: + 254 786 546 031; email: [Diana.ongiti@ifrc.org](mailto:Diana.ongiti@ifrc.org)
- **IFRC Africa Zone:** Robert Ondrusek, PMER/QA Delegate, Africa phone: +254 731 067277; email: [robert.ondrusek@ifrc.org](mailto:robert.ondrusek@ifrc.org)

---

## How we work

All IFRC assistance seeks to adhere to the Code of Conduct for the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement and Non-Governmental Organizations (NGO's) in Disaster Relief and the Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Disaster Response (Sphere) in delivering assistance to the most vulnerable.

The IFRC's vision is to inspire, encourage, facilitate and promote at all times all forms of humanitarian activities by National Societies, with a view to preventing and alleviating human suffering, and thereby contributing to the maintenance and promotion of human dignity and peace in the world.

[www.ifrc.org](http://www.ifrc.org)  
Saving lives, changing minds.



The IFRC's work is guided by Strategy 2020 which puts forward three strategic aims:

1. Save lives, protect livelihoods, and strengthen recovery from disaster and crises.
  2. Enable healthy and safe living.
  3. Promote social inclusion and a culture of non-violence and peace.
-

**Disaster Response Financial Report**

MDRZW007 - Zimbabwe - Floods

Timeframe: 07 Feb 13 to 07 Jun 13

Appeal Launch Date: 07 Feb 13

Final Report

**Selected Parameters**

|                         |           |           |          |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Reporting Timeframe     | 2013/2-10 | Programme | MDRZW007 |
| Budget Timeframe        | 2013/2-6  | Budget    | APPROVED |
| Split by funding source | Y         | Project   | *        |

All figures are in Swiss Francs (CHF)

**I. Funding**

|                                      | Raise humanitarian standards | Grow RC/RC services for vulnerable people | Strengthen RC/RC contribution to development | Heighten influence and support for RC/RC work | Joint working and accountability | TOTAL   | Deferred Income |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|---|----------------------------------|---------|-----------------|
| <b>A. Budget</b>                     |                              | 266,514                                   |  |   |                                  | 266,514 |                 |
| <b>B. Opening Balance</b>            |                              | 0   |  |   |                                  | 0       |                 |
| <b>Income</b>                        |                              |   |  |   |                                  |         |                 |
| <u>Other Income</u>                  |                              |   |  |   |                                  |         |                 |
| <i>DREF Allocations</i>              |                              | 266,514                                   |  |   |                                  | 266,514 |                 |
| <b>C4. Other Income</b>              |                              | 266,514                                   |  |   |                                  | 266,514 |                 |
| <b>C. Total Income = SUM(C1..C4)</b> |                              | 266,514                                   |  |   |                                  | 266,514 |                 |
| <b>D. Total Funding = B +C</b>       |                              | 266,514                                   |  |   |                                  | 266,514 |                 |

\* Funding source data based on information provided by the donor

**II. Movement of Funds**

|   | Raise humanitarian standards | Grow RC/RC services for vulnerable people | Strengthen RC/RC contribution to development | Heighten influence and support for RC/RC work | Joint working and accountability | TOTAL    | Deferred Income |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|---|----------------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| <b>B. Opening Balance</b>               |                              | 0   |  |   |                                  | 0        |                 |
| <b>C. Income</b>                        |                              | 266,514                                   |  |   |                                  | 266,514  |                 |
| <b>E. Expenditure</b>                   |                              | -247,773                                  |  |   |                                  | -247,773 |                 |
| <b>F. Closing Balance = (B + C + E)</b> |                              | 18,741                                    |  |   |                                  | 18,741   |                 |

## Disaster Response Financial Report

### MDRZW007 - Zimbabwe - Floods

Timeframe: 07 Feb 13 to 07 Jun 13

Appeal Launch Date: 07 Feb 13

Final Report

#### Selected Parameters

|                         |           |           |          |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Reporting Timeframe     | 2013/2-10 | Programme | MDRZW007 |
| Budget Timeframe        | 2013/2-6  | Budget    | APPROVED |
| Split by funding source | Y         | Project   | *        |

All figures are in Swiss Francs (CHF)

## III. Expenditure

| Account Groups                                   | Expenditure    |                              |   |  |   |                                  | TOTAL          | Variance |
|--|----------------|------------------------------|---|--|---|----------------------------------|----------------|----------|
|  | Budget         | Raise humanitarian standards | Grow RC/RC services for vulnerable people | Strengthen RC/RC contribution to development | Heighten influence and support for RC/RC work | Joint working and accountability |                |          |
|  | A              |                              |   |  |   | B                                | A - B          |          |
| <b>BUDGET (C)</b>                                |                |                              | <b>266,514</b>                            |  |   | <b>266,514</b>                   |                |          |
| <b>Relief items, Construction, Supplies</b>      |                |                              |   |  |   |                                  |                |          |
| Shelter - Relief                                 | 88,875         |                              | 73,669                                    |  |   | 73,669                           | 15,206         |          |
| Clothing & Textiles                              | 25,550         |                              | 19,309                                    |  |   | 19,309                           | 6,241          |          |
| Water, Sanitation & Hygiene                      | 41,600         |                              | 43,197                                    |  |   | 43,197                           | -1,597         |          |
| Teaching Materials                               | 1,000          |                              |   |  |   |                                  | 1,000          |          |
| Utensils & Tools                                 | 18,550         |                              | 16,568                                    |  |   | 16,568                           | 1,982          |          |
| Other Supplies & Services                        | 7,000          |                              |   |  |   |                                  | 7,000          |          |
| <b>Total Relief items, Construction, Sup</b>     | <b>182,575</b> |                              | <b>152,744</b>                            |  |   | <b>152,744</b>                   | <b>29,831</b>  |          |
| <b>Logistics, Transport &amp; Storage</b>        |                |                              |   |  |   |                                  |                |          |
| Storage  | 1,500          |                              | 1,588                                     |  |   | 1,588                            | -88            |          |
| Distribution & Monitoring                        | 6,938          |                              | 14,006                                    |  |   | 14,006                           | -7,068         |          |
| Transport & Vehicles Costs                       | 18,265         |                              | 24,200                                    |  |   | 24,200                           | -5,935         |          |
| Logistics Services                               |                |                              | 5,822                                     |  |   | 5,822                            | -5,822         |          |
| <b>Total Logistics, Transport &amp; Storage</b>  | <b>26,703</b>  |                              | <b>45,615</b>                             |  |   | <b>45,615</b>                    | <b>-18,913</b> |          |
| <b>Personnel</b>                                 |                |                              |   |  |   |                                  |                |          |
| International Staff                              | 2,550          |                              | 200                                       |  |   | 200                              | 2,350          |          |
| National Staff                                   |                |                              | 404                                       |  |   | 404                              | -404           |          |
| National Society Staff                           | 14,370         |                              | 19,612                                    |  |   | 19,612                           | -5,242         |          |
| Volunteers                                       | 6,950          |                              | 1,913                                     |  |   | 1,913                            | 5,037          |          |
| <b>Total Personnel</b>                           | <b>23,870</b>  |                              | <b>22,129</b>                             |  |   | <b>22,129</b>                    | <b>1,742</b>   |          |
| <b>Consultants &amp; Professional Fees</b>       |                |                              |   |  |   |                                  |                |          |
| Professional Fees                                |                |                              | -53                                       |  |   | -53                              | 53             |          |
| <b>Total Consultants &amp; Professional Fees</b> |                |                              | <b>-53</b>                                |  |   | <b>-53</b>                       | <b>53</b>      |          |
| <b>Workshops &amp; Training</b>                  |                |                              |   |  |   |                                  |                |          |
| Workshops & Training                             | 4,200          |                              | 7,179                                     |  |   | 7,179                            | -2,979         |          |
| <b>Total Workshops &amp; Training</b>            | <b>4,200</b>   |                              | <b>7,179</b>                              |  |   | <b>7,179</b>                     | <b>-2,979</b>  |          |
| <b>General Expenditure</b>                       |                |                              |   |  |   |                                  |                |          |
| Travel   | 10,000         |                              | 3,567                                     |  |   | 3,567                            | 6,433          |          |
| Information & Public Relations                   | 350            |                              |   |  |   |                                  | 350            |          |
| Office Costs                                     | 750            |                              | 357                                       |  |   | 357                              | 393            |          |
| Communications                                   | 1,050          |                              | 715                                       |  |   | 715                              | 335            |          |
| Financial Charges                                | 750            |                              | 318                                       |  |   | 318                              | 432            |          |
| Other General Expenses                           |                |                              | 80  |  |   | 80                               | -80            |          |
| <b>Total General Expenditure</b>                 | <b>12,900</b>  |                              | <b>5,037</b>                              |  |   | <b>5,037</b>                     | <b>7,863</b>   |          |
| <b>Indirect Costs</b>                            |                |                              |   |  |   |                                  |                |          |
| Programme & Services Support Recove              | 16,266         |                              | 15,122                                    |  |   | 15,122                           | 1,144          |          |
| <b>Total Indirect Costs</b>                      | <b>16,266</b>  |                              | <b>15,122</b>                             |  |   | <b>15,122</b>                    | <b>1,144</b>   |          |
| <b>TOTAL EXPENDITURE (D)</b>                     | <b>266,514</b> |                              | <b>247,773</b>                            |  |   | <b>247,773</b>                   | <b>18,741</b>  |          |
| <b>VARIANCE (C - D)</b>                          |                |                              | <b>18,741</b>                             |  |   | <b>18,741</b>                    |                |          |

**Disaster Response Financial Report**

MDRZW007 - Zimbabwe - Floods

Timeframe: 07 Feb 13 to 07 Jun 13

Appeal Launch Date: 07 Feb 13

Final Report

**Selected Parameters**

|                         |           |           |          |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Reporting Timeframe     | 2013/2-10 | Programme | MDRZW007 |
| Budget Timeframe        | 2013/2-6  | Budget    | APPROVED |
| Split by funding source | Y         | Project   | *        |

All figures are in Swiss Francs (CHF)

**IV. Breakdown by subsector**

| Business Line / Sub-sector                             | Budget         | Opening Balance | Income         | Funding        | Expenditure    | Closing Balance | Deferred Income |
|--|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| <b>BL2 - Grow RC/RC services for vulnerable people</b> |                |                 |                |                |                |                 |                 |
| Disaster response                                      | 266,514        | 0               | 266,514        | 266,514        | 247,773        | 18,741          |                 |
| Subtotal BL2   | 266,514        | 0               | 266,514        | 266,514        | 247,773        | 18,741          |                 |
| <b>GRAND TOTAL</b>                                     | <b>266,514</b> | <b>0</b>        | <b>266,514</b> | <b>266,514</b> | <b>247,773</b> | <b>18,741</b>   |                 |